

Environmental monitoring in Belarus

Final report – part two

Persistent organic pollutants (POPs)
– other than organochlorine pesticides



Jindřich Petrlík

© Arnika – Toxics and Waste Programme
Prague – December 2012



Environmental monitoring in Belarus

Sampling 16.8. – 22.8. 2012 and 26.12. – 29.12. 2011

Final report – part two

Persistent organic pollutants (POPs) – other than
organochlorine pesticides

RNDr. Jindřich Petrlík

“This publication has been produced with the financial assistance of the European Union and co-financed by the Czech Development Agency and Czech Ministry of Foreign Affairs within the Programme of Czech Development Cooperation, and Global Greengrants Fund. Its content is sole responsibility of Arnika Association and Center of Environmental Solutions and can under no circumstances be regarded as reflecting the position of the European Union and/or other co-sponsors. ”



The European Commission is the EU's executive body.

“The European Union is made up of 27 Member States who have decided to gradually link together their know-how, resources and destinies. Together, during a period of enlargement of 50 years, they have built a zone of stability, democracy and sustainable development whilst maintaining cultural diversity, tolerance and individual freedoms. The European Union is committed to sharing its achievements and its values with countries and peoples beyond its borders”.

Introduction

Introduction

This part of the report focuses on Persistent Organic Pollutants (POPs) which were not matter of the part one, what means all perfluorinated chemicals (PFCs), brominated flame retardants (BFRs), polychlorinated biphehyls (PCBs), polychlorinated dibenzo-p-dioxins and dibenzofurans (PCDD/Fs).. General description of sampling and localities is in part one of the report and there is no reason to repeat it here, because sampled localities and number of samples are same as in the first report. Not all samples were analyzed for chemical substances listed in this part of the report. This is specified in respective tables in this report.

Methodology of sampling and sample analysis

Sampling

Several samples were taken in each of the localities. Mostly, mixed samples were taken, formed by several partial samples taken in various places of the given locality. The samples were taken by means of a shovel into plastic sample containers (V = 500 ml) with screw lids. Samples were stored in a cold and dark before analysis.

Sample preparation

Samples were homogenised and a representative part (10 g) was used for the determination of dry matter by a gravimetric method. Another representative part was taken for analysis.

Determination of pesticides

Samples determined for the analysis of PCDD/Fs were sent to a Dutch certified laboratory (BioDetection Systems B.V., Amsterdam). PCDD/Fs-PCB-TEQ were analysed as DR CALUX® TEQs and benchmarked against a 2,3,7,8-TCDD calibration curve. The samples were extracted by means of ASE (hexane:acetone, 90:10) extraction. The extracts were desulphurised and cleaned on an acid silica column. The cleaned extracts were dissolved in dimethyl sulfoxide (50 µl) and the DR CALUX® activity was determined after 24 hours exposure. All DR CALUX analysis results comply with EU requirements as indicated in COMMISSION REGULATION (EC) No 1883/2006 (laying down the sampling methods and the methods of analysis for the official control of dioxins and the determination of dioxin-like PCBs in foodstuffs).

A) PFAS, BFR (HBCD, TBBPA)

A method based on technique QuEChERS was used for extraction of analyzed chemicals from sampled sediments. The extracts were cleaned by means of dispersion SPE with use of C18 sorbent. The identification and quantification of the analytes was determined by liquid chromatography coupled with a mass spectrometry detection (LC-MS/MS) making use of a triple quadrupole (QqQ).

B) BFR (PBDE), OCP, PCB

Selected samples were analysed on the content of PCBs and PBDEs in a Czech certified laboratory (Institute of Chemical Technology, Department of Food Chemistry and Analysis). The analytes were extracted from non-biota samples by dichloromethane and from biota samples by means of hexane:dichloromethane (1:1). The extracts were cleaned by means of gel permeation chromatography (GPC). The identification and quantification of the analytes was determined by gas chromatography coupled with an electron capture detector or mass spectrometry detection in negative ionization mode (NCI). The residues of non-organochlorinated pesticides in these samples were extracted by means of QuEChERS coupled with cleaning by a mixture of primary and secondary amines (PSA), C18 and MgSO₄. These analytes were separated by liquid chromatography followed by the mass spectrometry detection (GC-MS/MS) making use of a triple quadrupole (QqQ).

Results

Results of chemical analyses for PCBs, PCDD/Fs, BFRs and PFCs can be found in following Tables: PCBs – Table 1, PCDD/Fs (dioxins) and dioxin-like PCBs – Table 2, BFRs – Table 3 and PFCs – Table 4. For dioxins, dioxin-like PCBs, BFRs and PFCs these data represent first such measurements in river sediments in Belarus.

Tab. 1: Concentration of polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs).

Results of chemical analyses. < LOD: analyte concentration was below limit of detection. < LOQ: analyte concentration was below limit of quantification. NA: not analysed. All units are in ng/g dry weight for soils, sediments and peat if not specified otherwise.

Sample	BE1	BE3	BER-BAR	DRU1/2011	GA1/2011	GA2/2011	GA3	KR1/2011	KR2/2011
Matrix	<i>peat</i>	<i>sediment</i>	<i>sediment</i>	<i>sediment</i>	<i>sediment</i>	<i>soil</i>	<i>sediment</i>	<i>sediment</i>	<i>sediment</i>
PCB 28	<0,01	<0,01	0,112	0,21	1,07	0,21	<0,01	0,4	0,38
PCB 52	<0,01	<0,01	< 0,01	0,08	1,01	0,2	<0,01	0,19	0,19
PCB 101	<0,01	<0,01	< 0,01	0,14	1,4	0,58	<0,01	0,35	0,33
PCB 118	<0,01	<0,01	< 0,01	0,08	2,01	0,85	<0,01	0,4	0,44
PCB 138	<0,01	<0,01	< 0,01	0,13	1,6	1,18	<0,01	0,41	0,38
PCB 153	<0,01	<0,01	< 0,01	0,21	1,34	0,91	<0,01	0,4	0,37
PCB 180	<0,01	<0,01	< 0,01	0,06	0,37	0,19	<0,01	0,11	0,1
Suma of 7 PCB congeners	<0,07	<0,07	0,112	0,91	8,8	4,12	<0,07	2,26	2,19

	MI1	MI1/2011	MI2	MI2/2011	MI3	MI3/2011	MOG01	MOG02	MOG04
	<i>sediment</i>	<i>sediment</i>	<i>sediment</i>	<i>sediment</i>	<i>sediment</i>	<i>sediment</i>	<i>sediment</i>	<i>sediment</i>	<i>sediment</i>
PCB 28	<0,01	0,43	<0,01	0,23	0,289	0,49	<0,01	<0,01	<0,01
PCB 52	0,011	0,22	<0,01	0,14	<0,01	0,76	0,035	<0,01	<0,01
PCB 101	0,018	0,19	<0,01	0,25	<0,01	1,64	0,13	<0,01	<0,01
PCB 118	0,032	0,18	<0,01	0,36	<0,01	2,67	0,087	<0,01	0,02
PCB 138	0,018	0,17	<0,01	0,31	<0,01	2,01	0,254	<0,01	<0,01

PCB 153	0,02	0,17	<0,01	0,29	<0,01	1,65	0,305	<0,01	<0,01
PCB 180	<0,01	0,06	<0,01	0,08	<0,01	0,27	0,088	<0,01	<0,01
Suma of 7 PCB congeners	0,099	1,42	<0,07	1,66	0,289	9,49	0,899	<0,07	0,02

Sample	SVE02	SVE03	SVE04	SVE07	VIT01	VIT02	VIT03	ZH1/2011	ZH2/2011
Matrix	<i>sediment</i>	<i>sediment</i>	<i>sediment</i>	<i>sediment</i>	<i>sediment</i>	<i>sediment</i>	<i>sediment</i>	<i>sediment</i>	<i>sediment</i>
PCB 28	<0,01	<0,01	<0,01	<0,01	0,153	< 0,01	< 0,01	3,32	0,2
PCB 52	<0,01	<0,01	<0,01	<0,01	0,661	0,02	< 0,01	0,61	0,08
PCB 101	<0,01	<0,01	<0,01	<0,01	1,62	0,044	< 0,01	0,33	0,16
PCB 118	<0,01	<0,01	<0,01	<0,01	2,52	0,055	0,011	0,42	0,16
PCB 138	<0,01	<0,01	<0,01	<0,01	1,71	0,051	0,012	0,28	0,18
PCB 153	<0,01	<0,01	<0,01	<0,01	2,48	0,073	0,017	0,32	0,21
PCB 180	<0,01	<0,01	<0,01	<0,01	0,156	0,011	< 0,01	0,1	0,08
Suma of 7 PCB congeners	<0,07	<0,07	<0,07	<0,07	9,3	0,254	0,04	5,38	1,07

Tab. 2: Concentration of dioxins and dioxin-like PCBs

Results of chemical analyses. < LOD: analyte concentration was below limit of detection. < LOQ: analyte concentration was below limit of quantification. NA: not analysed.

Sample	BER-BAR	DRU1/2011	GA1	GA1/2011	GA2	GA2/2011	GA3	GA4	KR1/2011	KR2/2011
Matrix	<i>sediment</i>	<i>sediment</i>	<i>sediment</i>	<i>sediment</i>	<i>sediment</i>	<i>soil</i>	<i>sediment</i>	<i>sediment</i>	<i>sediment</i>	<i>sediment</i>
PCDD/F + dl-PCBs (pg BEQ/g)	2,5	2,4	1,1	37,4	1,7	1,1	47	2,7	2,6	1,7

Sample	MI1	MI1/2011	MI2	MI2/2011	MI3	MI3/2011	MOG01	MOG02	MOG03	MOG04	MOG09	MOG10
Matrix	<i>sediment</i>	<i>sediment</i>	<i>sediment</i>	<i>sediment</i>	<i>sediment</i>	<i>sediment</i>	<i>sediment</i>	<i>sediment</i>	<i>molluscs</i>	<i>sediment</i>	<i>sediment</i>	<i>molluscs</i>
PCDD/F + dl-PCBs (pg BEQ/g)	2,3	0,94	0,72	LOQ (0,37)	2,5	3,1	0,78	0,4	0,69	10	1,1	2

Sample	PAST1	SVE02	SVE04	SVE07	ZH1/2011	ZH2/2011
Matrix	<i>soil</i>	<i>sediment</i>	<i>sediment</i>	<i>sediment</i>	<i>sediment</i>	<i>sediment</i>
PCDD/F + dl-PCBs (pg BEQ/g)	0,67	0,61	LOQ (0,34)	LOD (<0,06)	1,2	0,82

Tab. 3: Concentration of brominated flame retardants (BFRs).

Results of chemical analyses. < LOD: analyte concentration was below limit of detection. < LOQ: analyte concentration was below limit of quantification. NA: not analysed. All units are in ng/g dry weight for soils, sediments and peat if not specified otherwise.

Sample	BE1	BE3	BER-BAR	DRU1/2011	GA1/2011	GA2/2011	GA3	KR1/2011	KR2/2011
Matrix	<i>peat</i>	<i>sediment</i>	<i>sediment</i>	<i>sediment</i>	<i>sediment</i>	<i>soil</i>	<i>sediment</i>	<i>sediment</i>	<i>Sediment</i>
BDE 28	<0,005	<0,005	<0,005	0,01	< 0,01	< 0,01	<0,005	0,01	0,01
BDE 47	0,79	<0,005	<0,005	< 0,01	0,05	0,07	<0,005	0,36	0,24
BDE 49	<0,005	<0,005	<0,005	< 0,01	< 0,01	< 0,01	<0,005	0,04	0,03
BDE 66	<0,005	<0,005	<0,005	< 0,01	151	< 0,01	<0,005	< 0,01	< 0,01
BDE 85	<0,005	<0,005	<0,005	0,1	< 0,01	0,23	<0,005	0,1	0,11
BDE 99	<0,005	<0,005	<0,005	0,14	< 0,01	0,12	<0,005	0,3	0,28
BDE 100	<0,005	<0,005	<0,005	< 0,01	< 0,01	< 0,01	<0,005	0,05	0,05
BDE 153	<0,005	<0,005	<0,005	0,02	< 0,01	< 0,01	<0,005	< 0,01	< 0,01
BDE 154	<0,005	<0,005	0,014	< 0,01	< 0,01	< 0,01	<0,005	0,03	0,03
BDE 183	< 0,05	< 0,05	< 0,05	< 0,01	< 0,01	< 0,01	< 0,05	< 0,01	< 0,01
BDE 196	< 0,05	< 0,05	< 0,05	< 0,01	< 0,01	< 0,01	< 0,05	< 0,01	< 0,01
BDE 197	<0,1	<0,1	<0,1	< 0,01	< 0,01	< 0,01	<0,1	< 0,01	< 0,01
BDE 203	< 0,05	< 0,05	< 0,05	< 0,01	< 0,01	< 0,01	< 0,05	< 0,01	< 0,01
BDE 206	<0,5	<0,5	<0,5	< 0,25	0,98	0,33	<0,5	0,95	< 0,25
BDE 207	< 0,05	< 0,05	< 0,05	< 0,25	< 0,25	< 0,25	< 0,05	< 0,25	< 0,25
BDE 209	< 1,5	< 1,5	< 1,5	< 1,25	26,53	< 1,25	< 1,5	16,5	< 1,25
Suma PBDE	0,79	0	0,014	0,27	178,56	0,75	0	18,34	0,75
PBB 153	0,104	<0,005	<0,005	NA	NA	NA	<0,005	NA	NA
PBT	<0,005	<0,005	<0,005	NA	NA	NA	<0,005	NA	NA
PBEB	<0,005	<0,005	<0,005	NA	NA	NA	<0,005	NA	NA
HBB	<0,005	<0,005	<0,005	NA	NA	NA	<0,005	NA	NA
BTBPE	< 0,01	< 0,01	< 0,01	NA	NA	NA	< 0,01	NA	NA

OBIND	<0,5	<0,5	<0,5	NA	NA	NA	<0,5	NA	NA
2,4-Dibromfenol	< 3,00	< 3,00	< 3,00	NA	NA	NA	< 3,00	NA	NA
2,4,6-Tribromfenol	< 1,50	< 1,50	< 1,50	NA	NA	NA	< 1,50	NA	NA
Pentabromfenol	< 0,75	< 0,75	< 0,75	NA	NA	NA	< 0,75	NA	NA
α -HBCD	< 1,50	< 1,50	< 1,50	< 0,35	< 0,35	< 0,35	< 1,50	< 0,35	< 0,35
β -HBCD	< 1,50	< 1,50	< 1,50	< 0,35	< 0,35	< 0,35	< 1,50	< 0,35	< 0,35
γ -HBCD	< 1,50	< 1,50	< 1,50	< 0,20	< 0,20	< 0,20	< 1,50	< 0,20	0,38
TBBPA	< 1,50	< 1,50	< 1,50	< 0,75	< 0,75	< 0,75	< 1,50	< 0,75	< 0,75
Suma other BFRs	0,104	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0,38
Suma all BFRs	0,894	0	0,014	0,27	178,56	0,75	0	18,34	1,13

Sample	MI1	MI1/2011	MI2	MI2/2011	MI3	MI3/2011	MOG01	MOG02	MOG04
Matrix	<i>sediment</i>	<i>sediment</i>	<i>sediment</i>	<i>sediment</i>	<i>sediment</i>	<i>sediment</i>	<i>sediment</i>	<i>sediment</i>	<i>sediment</i>
BDE 28	<0,005	< 0,01	<0,005	< 0,01	<0,005	< 0,01	<0,005	<0,005	<0,005
BDE 47	0,591	0,15	<0,005	0,16	0,116	0,61	0,591	0,591	0,591
BDE 49	<0,005	0,01	<0,005	0,02	<0,005	0,02	<0,005	<0,005	<0,005
BDE 66	<0,005	< 0,01	<0,005	< 0,01	0,113	< 0,01	<0,005	<0,005	<0,005
BDE 85	<0,005	0,03	<0,005	0,02	<0,005	0,04	<0,005	<0,005	<0,005
BDE 99	<0,005	0,11	0,006	0,13	0,161	1,29	<0,005	<0,005	<0,005
BDE 100	<0,005	0,02	<0,005	0,02	<0,005	0,02	<0,005	<0,005	<0,005
BDE 153	<0,005	< 0,01	<0,005	< 0,01	<0,005	< 0,01	0,026	<0,005	<0,005
BDE 154	<0,005	0,02	<0,005	0,02	<0,005	0,02	<0,005	<0,005	<0,005
BDE 183	< 0,05	< 0,01	< 0,05	< 0,01	< 0,05	< 0,01	< 0,05	< 0,05	< 0,05
BDE 196	< 0,05	< 0,01	< 0,05	< 0,01	< 0,05	< 0,01	< 0,05	< 0,05	< 0,05
BDE 197	<0,1	< 0,01	<0,1	< 0,01	<0,1	< 0,01	<0,1	<0,1	<0,1
BDE 203	< 0,05	< 0,01	< 0,05	< 0,01	< 0,05	< 0,01	< 0,05	< 0,05	< 0,05

BDE 206	<0,5	< 0,25	<0,5	0,38	<0,5	0,4	<0,5	<0,5	<0,5
BDE 207	< 0,05	< 0,25	< 0,05	< 0,25	< 0,05	< 0,25	< 0,05	< 0,05	< 0,05
BDE 209	< 1,5	10,86	< 1,5	< 1,25	< 1,5	25,82	1,63	< 1,5	< 1,5
Suma PBDE	0,591	11,2	0,006	0,75	0,39	28,22	2,247	0,591	0,591
PBB 153	<0,005	NA	<0,005	NA	<0,005	NA	<0,005	<0,005	<0,005
PBT	<0,005	NA	0,026	NA	<0,005	NA	<0,005	<0,005	<0,005
PBEB	<0,005	NA	<0,005	NA	<0,005	NA	<0,005	<0,005	<0,005
HBB	<0,005	NA	<0,005	NA	<0,005	NA	<0,005	<0,005	<0,005
BTBPE	< 0,01	NA	< 0,01	NA	< 0,01	NA	< 0,01	< 0,01	< 0,01
OBIND	<0,5	NA	<0,5	NA	<0,5	NA	<0,5	<0,5	<0,5
2,4-Dibromfenol	< 3,00	NA	< 3,00	NA	< 3,00	NA	< 3,00	< 3,00	< 3,00
2,4,6-Tribromfenol	< 1,50	NA	< 1,50	NA	< 1,50	NA	< 1,50	< 1,50	< 1,50
Pentabromfenol	< 0,75	NA	< 0,75	NA	< 0,75	NA	< 0,75	< 0,75	< 0,75
α -HBCD	< 1,50	< 0,35	< 1,50	< 0,35	< 1,50	< 0,35	< 1,50	< 1,50	< 1,50
β -HBCD	< 1,50	< 0,35	< 1,50	< 0,35	< 1,50	< 0,35	< 1,50	< 1,50	< 1,50
γ -HBCD	< 1,50	< 0,20	< 1,50	< 0,20	< 1,50	< 0,20	< 1,50	< 1,50	< 1,50
TBBPA	< 1,50	< 0,75	< 1,50	< 0,75	< 1,50	< 0,75	< 1,50	< 1,50	< 1,50
Suma other BFRs	0	0	0,026	0	0	0	0	0	0
Suma all BFRs	0,591	11,2	0,032	0,75	0,39	28,22	2,247	0,591	0,591

Sample	BE1	SVE02	SVE03	SVE04	SVE07	VIT01	VIT02	VIT03	ZH1/2011	ZH2/2011
Matrix	<i>peat</i>	<i>sediment</i>	<i>sediment</i>	<i>sediment</i>	<i>sediment</i>	<i>sediment</i>	<i>sediment</i>	<i>sediment</i>	<i>sediment</i>	<i>sediment</i>
BDE 28	<0,005	<0,005	<0,005	<0,005	<0,005	<0,005	<0,005	<0,005	0,01	< 0,01
BDE 47	0,79	<0,005	<0,005	<0,005	<0,005	<0,005	0,005	0,01	0,12	0,06
BDE 49	<0,005	<0,005	<0,005	<0,005	<0,005	0,008	0,011	0,014	0,02	< 0,01
BDE 66	<0,005	<0,005	<0,005	<0,005	<0,005	<0,005	<0,005	<0,005	< 0,01	< 0,01

BDE 85	<0,005	<0,005	<0,005	<0,005	<0,005	<0,005	<0,005	<0,005	0,13	0,06
BDE 99	<0,005	<0,005	<0,005	<0,005	<0,005	<0,005	0,007	0,005	0,17	0,08
BDE 100	<0,005	<0,005	<0,005	<0,005	<0,005	<0,005	<0,005	<0,005	< 0,01	< 10
BDE 153	<0,005	<0,005	<0,005	<0,005	<0,005	<0,005	<0,005	<0,005	< 0,01	< 10
BDE 154	<0,005	<0,005	<0,005	<0,005	<0,005	<0,005	<0,005	<0,005	< 0,01	< 0,01
BDE 183	< 0,05	< 0,05	< 0,05	< 0,05	< 0,05	< 0,05	< 0,05	< 0,05	< 0,01	< 0,01
BDE 196	< 0,05	< 0,05	< 0,05	< 0,05	< 0,05	< 0,05	< 0,05	< 0,05	< 0,01	< 0,01
BDE 197	<0,1	<0,1	<0,1	<0,1	<0,1	<0,1	<0,1	<0,1	< 0,01	< 0,01
BDE 203	< 0,05	< 0,05	< 0,05	< 0,05	< 0,05	< 0,05	< 0,05	< 0,05	< 0,01	< 0,01
BDE 206	<0,5	<0,5	<0,5	<0,5	<0,5	<0,5	<0,5	<0,5	0,4	0,91
BDE 207	< 0,05	< 0,05	< 0,05	< 0,05	< 0,05	< 0,05	< 0,05	< 0,05	< 0,25	< 0,25
BDE 209	< 1,5	< 1,5	< 1,5	< 1,5	< 1,5	<1,5	<1,5	5,12	< 1,25	17,96
Suma PBDE	0,79	0	0	0	0	0,008	0,023	5,149	0,85	19,07
PBB 153	0,104	<0,005	<0,005	<0,005	<0,005	<0,005	<0,005	<0,005	NA	NA
PBT	<0,005	<0,005	<0,005	<0,005	<0,005	<0,005	<0,005	<0,005	NA	NA
PBEb	<0,005	<0,005	<0,005	<0,005	<0,005	<0,005	<0,005	<0,005	NA	NA
HBB	<0,005	<0,005	<0,005	<0,005	<0,005	<0,005	<0,005	<0,005	NA	NA
BTBPE	< 0,01	< 0,01	< 0,01	< 0,01	< 0,01	< 0,01	< 0,01	< 0,01	NA	NA
OBIND	<0,5	<0,5	<0,5	<0,5	<0,5	<0,5	<0,5	<0,5	NA	NA
2,4-Dibromfenol	< 3,00	< 3,00	< 3,00	< 3,00	< 3,00	< 3,00	< 3,00	< 3,00	NA	NA
2,4,6-Tribromfenol	< 1,50	< 1,50	< 1,50	< 1,50	< 1,50	< 1,50	< 1,50	< 1,50	NA	NA
Pentabromfenol	< 0,75	< 0,75	< 0,75	< 0,75	< 0,75	< 0,75	< 0,75	< 0,75	NA	NA
α -HBCD	< 1,50	< 1,50	< 1,50	< 1,50	< 1,50	< 1,50	< 1,50	< 1,50	< 0,35	< 0,35
β -HBCD	< 1,50	< 1,50	< 1,50	< 1,50	< 1,50	< 1,50	< 1,50	< 1,50	< 0,35	< 0,35
γ -HBCD	< 1,50	< 1,50	< 1,50	< 1,50	< 1,50	< 1,50	< 1,50	< 1,50	< 0,20	< 0,20
TBBPA	< 1,50	< 1,50	< 1,50	< 1,50	< 1,50	< 1,50	< 1,50	< 1,50	< 0,75	< 0,75
Suma other	0,104	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

BFRs										
Suma all BFRs	0,894	0	0	0	0	0,008	0,023	5,149	0,85	19,07

Tab. 4: Concentration of perfluorinated chemicals (PFCs).

Results of chemical analyses. < LOD: analyte concentration was below limit of detection. < LOQ: analyte concentration was below limit of quantification. NA: not analysed. All units are in ng/g dry weight for soils, sediments and peat if not specified otherwise.

Sample	BE1	BE3	BER-BAR	DRU1/2011	GA1/2011	GA2/2011	GA3	KR1/2011	KR2/2011
Matrix	<i>peat</i>	<i>sediment</i>	<i>sediment</i>	<i>sediment</i>	<i>sediment</i>	<i>soil</i>	<i>sediment</i>	<i>sediment</i>	<i>sediment</i>
PFBA	< 0,30	< 0,30	< 0,30	< 0,75	< 0,75	< 0,75	< 0,30	< 0,75	< 0,75
PFPeA	< 0,30	< 0,30	< 0,30	< 0,75	< 0,75	< 0,75	< 0,30	< 0,75	< 0,75
PFHxA	< 0,30	< 0,30	< 0,30	< 0,75	< 0,75	< 0,75	< 0,30	< 0,75	< 0,75
PFHpA	< 0,30	< 0,30	< 0,30	< 0,15	< 0,15	< 0,15	< 0,30	< 0,15	< 0,15
PFOA	< 0,30	< 0,30	< 0,30	< 0,15	< 0,15	< 0,15	< 0,30	< 0,15	< 0,15
PFNA	< 0,30	< 0,30	< 0,30	< 0,15	< 0,15	< 0,15	< 0,30	< 0,15	< 0,15
PFDA	< 0,30	< 0,30	< 0,30	< 0,15	< 0,15	< 0,15	< 0,30	< 0,15	< 0,15
PFUdA	< 0,30	< 0,30	< 0,30	< 0,15	< 0,15	< 0,15	< 0,30	< 0,15	< 0,15
PFDoA	< 0,30	< 0,30	< 0,30	< 0,15	< 0,15	< 0,15	< 0,30	< 0,15	< 0,15
PFTTrDA	< 0,30	< 0,30	< 0,30	< 0,15	< 0,15	< 0,15	< 0,30	< 0,15	< 0,15
PFTeDA	< 0,30	< 0,30	< 0,30	< 0,15	< 0,15	< 0,15	< 0,30	< 0,15	< 0,15
PFBS	< 0,13	< 0,13	< 0,13	< 0,04	< 0,04	< 0,04	< 0,13	< 0,04	< 0,04
PFHxS	< 0,14	< 0,14	< 0,14	< 0,04	< 0,04	< 0,04	< 0,14	< 0,04	< 0,04
Br-PFOS	< 0,03	< 0,03	< 0,03	NA	NA	NA	< 0,03	NA	NA
L-PFOS	< 0,11	< 0,11	< 0,11	NA	NA	NA	< 0,11	NA	NA
PFOS	NA	NA	NA	< 0,04	0,23	0,13	NA	< 0,04	< 0,04
PFDS	< 0,14	< 0,14	< 0,14	< 0,08	< 0,08	< 0,08	< 0,14	< 0,08	< 0,08
PFOSA	< 0,15	< 0,15	< 0,15	< 0,02	< 0,02	< 0,02	< 0,15	< 0,02	< 0,02
N-EtFOSE	NA	NA	NA	< 0,75	< 0,75	< 0,75	NA	< 0,75	< 0,75
N-MeFOSE	NA	NA	NA	< 0,75	< 0,75	< 0,75	NA	< 0,75	< 0,75
N-EtFOSA	< 0,15	< 0,15	< 0,15	< 0,08	< 0,08	< 0,08	< 0,15	< 0,08	< 0,08
N-MeFOSA	< 0,15	< 0,15	< 0,15	< 0,08	< 0,08	< 0,08	< 0,15	< 0,08	< 0,08

Suma PFCs	0	0	0	0	0,23	0,13	0	0	0
-----------	---	---	---	---	------	------	---	---	---

Sample	MI1	MI1/2011	MI2	MI2/2011	MI3	MI3/2011	MOG01	MOG02	MOG04
Matrix	<i>sediment</i>	<i>sediment</i>	<i>sediment</i>	<i>sediment</i>	<i>sediment</i>	<i>sediment</i>	<i>sediment</i>	<i>sediment</i>	<i>sediment</i>
PFBA	< 0,30	< 0,75	< 0,30	< 0,75	< 0,30	< 0,75	< 0,30	< 0,30	< 0,30
PFPeA	< 0,30	< 0,75	< 0,30	< 0,75	< 0,30	< 0,75	< 0,30	< 0,30	< 0,30
PFHxA	< 0,30	< 0,75	< 0,30	< 0,75	< 0,30	< 0,75	< 0,30	< 0,30	< 0,30
PFHpA	< 0,30	< 0,15	< 0,30	< 0,15	< 0,30	< 0,15	< 0,30	< 0,30	< 0,30
PFOA	< 0,30	< 0,15	< 0,30	< 0,15	< 0,30	< 0,15	< 0,30	< 0,30	< 0,30
PFNA	< 0,30	< 0,15	< 0,30	< 0,15	< 0,30	< 0,15	< 0,30	< 0,30	< 0,30
PFDA	< 0,30	< 0,15	< 0,30	< 0,15	< 0,30	< 0,15	< 0,30	< 0,30	< 0,30
PFUdA	< 0,30	< 0,15	< 0,30	< 0,15	< 0,30	< 0,15	< 0,30	< 0,30	< 0,30
PFDaA	< 0,30	< 0,15	< 0,30	< 0,15	< 0,30	< 0,15	< 0,30	< 0,30	< 0,30
PFTTrDA	< 0,30	< 0,15	< 0,30	< 0,15	< 0,30	< 0,15	< 0,30	< 0,30	< 0,30
PFTeDA	< 0,30	< 0,15	< 0,30	< 0,15	< 0,30	< 0,15	< 0,30	< 0,30	< 0,30
PFBS	< 0,13	< 0,04	< 0,13	< 0,04	< 0,13	< 0,04	< 0,13	< 0,13	< 0,13
PFHxS	< 0,14	< 0,04	< 0,14	< 0,04	< 0,14	< 0,04	< 0,14	< 0,14	< 0,14
Br-PFOS	< 0,03	NA	< 0,03	NA	< 0,03	NA	< 0,03	< 0,03	< 0,03
L-PFOS	< 0,11	NA	< 0,11	NA	< 0,11	NA	< 0,11	< 0,11	< 0,11
PFOS	NA	0,04	NA	0,04	NA	< 0,04	NA	NA	NA
PFDS	< 0,14	< 0,08	< 0,14	< 0,08	< 0,14	< 0,08	< 0,14	< 0,14	< 0,14
PFOSA	< 0,15	< 0,02	< 0,15	< 0,02	< 0,15	< 0,02	< 0,15	< 0,15	< 0,15
N-EtFOSE	NA	< 0,75	NA	< 0,75	NA	< 0,75	NA	NA	NA
N-MeFOSE	NA	< 0,75	NA	< 0,75	NA	< 0,75	NA	NA	NA
N-EtFOSA	< 0,15	< 0,08	< 0,15	< 0,08	< 0,15	< 0,08	< 0,15	< 0,15	< 0,15
N-MeFOSA	< 0,15	< 0,08	< 0,15	< 0,08	< 0,15	< 0,08	< 0,15	< 0,15	< 0,15
Suma PFCs	0	0,04	0	0,04	0	0	0	0	0

Sample	SVE02	SVE03	SVE04	SVE07	VIT01	VIT02	VIT03	ZH1/2011	ZH2/2011
Matrix	<i>sediment</i>	<i>sediment</i>	<i>sediment</i>	<i>sediment</i>	<i>sediment</i>	<i>sediment</i>	<i>sediment</i>	<i>sediment</i>	<i>sediment</i>
PFBA	< 0,30	< 0,30	< 0,30	< 0,30	< 0,30	< 0,30	< 0,30	< 0,75	< 0,75
PFPeA	< 0,30	< 0,30	< 0,30	< 0,30	< 0,30	< 0,30	< 0,30	< 0,75	< 0,75
PFHxA	< 0,30	< 0,30	< 0,30	< 0,30	< 0,30	< 0,30	< 0,30	< 0,75	< 0,75
PFHpA	< 0,30	< 0,30	< 0,30	< 0,30	< 0,30	< 0,30	< 0,30	< 0,15	< 0,15
PFOA	< 0,30	< 0,30	< 0,30	< 0,30	< 0,30	< 0,30	< 0,30	< 0,15	< 0,15
PFNA	< 0,30	< 0,30	< 0,30	< 0,30	< 0,30	< 0,30	< 0,30	< 0,15	< 0,15
PFDA	< 0,30	< 0,30	< 0,30	< 0,30	< 0,30	< 0,30	< 0,30	< 0,15	< 0,15
PFUdA	< 0,30	< 0,30	< 0,30	< 0,30	< 0,30	< 0,30	< 0,30	< 0,15	< 0,15
PFDoA	< 0,30	< 0,30	< 0,30	< 0,30	< 0,30	< 0,30	< 0,30	< 0,15	< 0,15
PFTTrDA	< 0,30	< 0,30	< 0,30	< 0,30	< 0,30	< 0,30	< 0,30	< 0,15	< 0,15
PFTeDA	< 0,30	< 0,30	< 0,30	< 0,30	< 0,30	< 0,30	< 0,30	< 0,15	< 0,15
PFBS	< 0,13	< 0,13	< 0,13	< 0,13	< 0,13	< 0,13	< 0,13	< 0,04	< 0,04
PFHxS	< 0,14	< 0,14	< 0,14	< 0,14	< 0,14	< 0,14	< 0,14	< 0,04	< 0,04
Br-PFOS	< 0,03	< 0,03	< 0,03	< 0,03	< 0,03	< 0,03	< 0,03	NA	NA
L-PFOS	< 0,11	< 0,11	< 0,11	< 0,11	< 0,11	< 0,11	< 0,11	NA	NA
PFOS	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	< 0,04	0,04
PFDS	< 0,14	< 0,14	< 0,14	< 0,14	< 0,14	< 0,14	< 0,14	< 0,08	< 0,08
PFOSA	< 0,15	< 0,15	< 0,15	< 0,15	< 0,15	< 0,15	< 0,15	< 0,02	< 0,02
N-EtFOSE	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	< 0,75	< 0,75
N-MeFOSE	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	< 0,75	< 0,75
N-EtFOSA	< 0,15	< 0,15	< 0,15	< 0,15	< 0,15	< 0,15	< 0,15	< 0,08	< 0,08
N-MeFOSA	< 0,15	< 0,15	< 0,15	< 0,15	< 0,15	< 0,15	< 0,15	< 0,08	< 0,08
Suma PFCs	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0,04

Conclusions

Contamination of sediments by selected POPs is very different depending on specific chemicals. High levels of almost all evaluated POPs were found in sediments and soil from Gatovo in comparison with other samples from Belarus. This is locality with both car shredder and textile production industry. As car shredders are known source of POPs contamination in other countries we consider this activity as major source of contamination by POPs (Secretariat of The Stockholm Convention on POPs 2008); (Börjeson, Löfvenius et al. 2000); (Sakai and Fiedler 2004); (Weber, Watson et al. 2011).

Highest levels of PCBs (7 congeners) were found in Gatovo (Svislach river), Minsk (Svislach river) and Vitebsk (Dvina river). Higher levels of PBDEs were observed only in two sediment samples: Minsk (Svislach river) and Gatovo (Svislach river). Levels of PFOS above limits of quantification were found only in samples of sediment and soil from Gatovo as well as in two sediments from Svislach river in Minsk, however there were very low levels of PFOS in sediments from Minsk.

Detected highest levels of PCBs (7 congeners) in this study (above 8 ng/g) were rather low or average in comparison with previous studies in Belarus. The tests of bottom sediment in the Gaina and the Podveina rivers (The Logoisk district), the Chernitsa River (the Minsk district), the Vileika reservoir (total of 5 samples) have suggested that a total of 8 congeners of PCBs varies from the quantities below the threshold of detectability to 63.6 ng/g (Kukharchyk 2004). In bottom sediment of the waterways and water bodies of Minsk (5 samples) the concentration of PCBs range from 22.6 to 1,029.9 µg/kg, while concentration in the bottom sediment in the Lida reservoir and the Lideika River ranged from 0.612 to 23.2 µg/kg (Ministry of Natural Resources and Environmental Protection of the Republic of Belarus 2006).

The detected concentrations of PCBs in this study are below so-called lower level of possible effect – 70 µg/kg (Heidtke, Hartig et al. 2003) and the maximum permissible concentrations established in some countries (Germany, the Netherlands and Canada) – 20–34 µg/kg (Bakker, De Vries et al. 1998).

Table 5: Comparison of results in this study with some studies in other countries. Levels are in ng/g d.w. if not specified otherwise.

Specific POPs	PCBs (7 congeners)	PCDD/Fs + DL PCBs (in pg TEQ/g)	PBDEs	PFCs
Belarus; several different rivers (this report)	<0.07 – 9.49	<0.06 – 37.4 pg BEQ/g	<LOD – 178.56	<LOD – 0.23
Czech Republic; several different rivers (Lanková, Hloušková et al. 2010)			0.1 – 489.5	0.4 – 25.5
China; Haihe river, Tianjin (Li, Sun et al. 2011)				Sediments from Dagu Drainage Canal: 1.6–7.7 Haihe River: 7.1–16
Canada; Niagara river (Lucaciu, Furdulac et al. 2005)				PFOS concentrations increased during the period of study from less than 0.4 ng/g in 1980s to more than 1.0 ng/g in 2002
Germany; Elbe river (Brack, Bláha et al. 2008)		0.38 – 255.42 (extreme 1,593.78)		
UK; England and Wales (Rose, McKay et al. 1994)		2 – 120		
China; Liaohe river, (Zhang, Zhao et al. 2010)		PCDD/Fs: 0.24-27.49 pg WHO-TEQ/g (average: 3.01) PCBs: 0.015-0.99 pg WHO-TEQ/g (average: 0.33)		
Czech Republic; Košetice (background level); Zlín; Beroun (Holoubek and Adamec 2003)	2.57 (0.93 – 7.07) ^{a)} ; 37.8 (2.6 – 143.1) ^{a)} ; 14.9 (4.76 – 114.8) ^{a)} ;	1.4 / 0.185; 0.9 – 5.6 / 0.11 – 1.6 ^{***} 0.21 – 11.2 / 0.11 – 8.37 ^{***}		
Czech Republic; different rivers (ÚCHAP VŠCHT 2010)	<LOQ – 444.5			
Poland; Odra river and its tributaries (Kannan, Kober et al. 2003)	2.7 to 412 ng/g ^{*****}			
China; Tonghui river, Beijing (Zhang, Huang et al. 2004)	0.78 - 8.47 ng/g ^{****}			
China; Pearl river, delta area (Fu, Mai et al. 2003)	0.18 – 486			

China, Yangtze river estuary (Chen, Gao et al. 2006)			Sum of 12 PBDE congeners without BDE 209: n.d. – 0.55 BDE 209: 0.16 - 94.6	
---	--	--	--	--

^{a)} arithmetic mean and minimum – maximum levels in brackets

* values calculated as sum of PCDD/Fs and PCBs; measured separately in original study

** only PCDD/Fs

*** first figure is for PCDD/Fs, second for DL PCBs, both in I-TEQ pg/g

**** 12 PCB congeners

***** 98 PCB congeners

Comparison with some results for sediments in other countries is summarized in Table 5. While levels of PCBs, PBDEs and PFCs are rather low in most of samples, significantly increased levels of dioxins (PCDD/Fs) and DL PCBs were observed in samples from Gatovo and one sample of sediment taken in Dnepr River part the city of Mogilev.

Observed levels of dioxins (PCDD/Fs) and DL PCBs exceeded estimated contamination of dioxins in soil in. We compare our results with soil levels, because „the estimates of concentration of dioxins/furans in natural waters and bottom sediment in Belarus are not available either.“ (Ministry of Natural Resources and Environmental Protection of the Republic of Belarus 2006).

NIP estimates concentrations for soils: „The data of the Meteorological Synthesizing Center “Vostok” suggest that the calculated average concentration of dioxins/furans in soils in Belarus is 0.27 pg/g (ng/kg) (the average level for the European countries for surface horizon is 0.3 pg TEQ/g.) The calculated concentrations are within the range of 0.01–3 pg TEQ/g for 2003. The calculations performed by the Meteorological Synthesizing Center “Vostok” suggest that dioxins/furans tend to accumulate in soil; though the most apparent trend had been observed before 1994 (EMEP/MSC-V 2003).“ (Ministry of Natural Resources and Environmental Protection of the Republic of Belarus 2006). Levels of PCDD/Fs and DL PCBs are in most cases higher than these estimated levels for soil. Levels observed in Gatovo exceed also lowest levels observed in this study in Svetlogorsk or Pinsk. They exceed also levels from „background“ locality in remote area of Biodiversity reserve Berezinsky, however levels observed in Berezina River are higher than in other more populated localities. This is not extraordinary for remote areas, because they also in other countries show increased levels of PCDD/Fs because of their transport by air mass (Holoubek and Adamec 2003).

Literatura

- Bakker, D. J., W. De Vries, E. J. van de Plassche and W. A. J. van Pul (1998). Manual for Performing Risk Assessments for Persistent Organic Pollutants in Aquatic Ecosystems. Guidelines for Critical Limits, Calculation Methods and Input Data. TNO Report: 90.
- Börjeson, L., G. Löfvenius, M. Hjelt, S. Johansson and S. Marklund (2000). "Characterization of automotive shredder residues from two shredding facilities with different refining processes in Sweden." *Waste Management and Research* 18(4): 358-366.
- Brack, W., L. Bláha, J. P. Giesy, M. Grote, M. Moeder, S. Schrader and M. Hecker (2008). "Polychlorinated naphthalenes and other dioxin-like compounds in Elbe River sediments." *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry* 27(3): 519-528.
- EMEP/MSC-V (2003). Трансграничное загрязнение Беларуси тяжелыми металлами и стойкими органическими загрязнителями. ЕМЕП/МСЦ-В отчет 6/2003: 18.
- Fu, J., B. Mai, G. Sheng, G. Zhang, X. Wang, P. a. Peng, X. Xiao, R. Ran, F. Cheng, X. Peng, Z. Wang and U. Wa Tang (2003). "Persistent organic pollutants in environment of the Pearl River Delta, China: an overview." *Chemosphere* 52(9): 1411-1422.
- Heidtke, T. M., J. Hartig and B. Yu (2003). Evaluating Ecosystem Results of PCB Control Measures Within the Detroit River-Western Lake Erie Basin. Canada-United States Workshop Held at University of Windsor's Great Lakes Institute, Windsor, Ontario, Canada.
- Holoubek, I. and V. Adamec, Bartoš, M., Černá, M., Čupr, P., Bláha, K., Demnerová, K., Drápal, J., Hajšlová, J., Holoubková, I., Jech, L., Klánová, J., Kocourek, V., Kohoutek, J., Kužílek, V., Machálek, P., Matějů, V., Matoušek, J., Matoušek, M., Mejstřík, V., Novák, J., Ocelka, T., Pekárek, V., Petira, K. Provazník, O., Punčochář, M., Rieder, M., Ruprich, J.,

Sáňka, M., Tomaniová, M., Vácha, R., Volka, K., Zbiral, J. (2003). Národní inventura perzistentních organických polutantů v České republice. Project GF/CEH/01/003: Enabling activities to facilitate early action on the implementation of the Stockholm Convention on Persistent Organic Pollutants (POPs) in the Czech Republic. . Brno, TOCOEN.

Chen, S.-J., X.-J. Gao, B.-X. Mai, Z.-M. Chen, X.-J. Luo, G.-Y. Sheng, J.-M. Fu and E. Y. Zeng (2006). "Polybrominated diphenyl ethers in surface sediments of the Yangtze River Delta: Levels, distribution and potential hydrodynamic influence." *Environmental Pollution* 144(3): 951-957.

Kannan, K., J. L. Kober, J. S. Khim, K. Szymczyk, J. Falandysz and J. P. Giesy (2003). "Polychlorinated biphenyls, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons and alkylphenols in sediments from the Odra River and its tributaries, Poland." *Toxicological & Environmental Chemistry* 85(4-6): 51-60.

Kukharchyk, T. I. (2004). Разработать схемы отбора проб твердых субстратов в зависимости от свойств источников ПХБ и матриц содержания. Minsk, ИПИПРЭ НАН Беларуси (National Academy of Sciences, Belarus): 55.

Lanková, D., V. Hloušková, K. Kalachová, P. Hrádková, J. Pulkrabová and J. Hajšlová (2010). Výskyt perfluorovaných a bromovaných sloučenin ve vzorcích ryb a sedimentů z vybraných lokalit České republiky: 48.

Li, F., H. Sun, Z. Hao, N. He, L. Zhao, T. Zhang and T. Sun (2011). "Perfluorinated compounds in Haihe River and Dagu Drainage Canal in Tianjin, China." *Chemosphere* 84(2): 265-271.

Lucaciu, C., V. Furdui, P. Crozier, E. Reiner, C. Marvin, F. Wania and S. Mabury (2005). "Temporal study of perfluorinated alkyl surfactants in Niagara river sediments (1980–2002)." *Organohalogen Compd* 67: 764-766.

Ministry of Natural Resources and Environmental Protection of the Republic of Belarus (2006). The National Plan of the Republic of Belarus for the Implementation of its Obligations under the Stockholm Convention on Persistent Organic Pollutants for the period of 2007–2010 and until 2028. Minsk: 183.

Rose, C., W. McKay and P. Ambidge (1994). "PCDD and PCDF levels in river systems in England and Wales, UK." *Chemosphere* 29(6): 1279-1292.

Sakai, S.-i. and H. Fiedler (2004). Information Document on Shredder Plants for End-of-Life Vehicles. Guidance by source category: Annex C, Part III Source Categories. Shredder plants for treatment of end of life vehicles. Draft 15/04/04.: 11.

Secretariat of The Stockholm Convention on POPs (2008). Guidelines on Best Available Techniques and Provisional Guidance on Best Environmental Practices Relevant to Article 5 and Annex C of the Stockholm Convention on Persistent Organic Pollutants. Geneva, Secretariat of the Stockholm Convention on POPs.

ÚCHAP VŠCHT (2010). Protokol o zkoušce č. LN 7986 – 8009, 8075 – 8077. Stanovení obsahu polychlorovaných bifenyliů a reziduí pesticidů.

Weber, R., A. Watson, M. Forter and F. Oliaei (2011). "Review Article: Persistent organic pollutants and landfills - a review of past experiences and future challenges." *Waste Manag Res* 29(1): 107-121.

Zhang, H., X. Zhao, Y. Ni, X. Lu, J. Chen, F. Su, L. Zhao, N. Zhang and X. Zhang (2010). "PCDD/Fs and PCBs in sediments of the Liaohe River, China: Levels, distribution, and possible sources." *Chemosphere* 79(7): 754-762.

Zhang, Z., J. Huang, G. Yu and H. Hong (2004). "Occurrence of PAHs, PCBs and organochlorine pesticides in the Tonghui River of Beijing, China." *Environmental Pollution* 130(2): 249-261.

Environmental monitoring in Belarus

Sampling 16.8. – 22.8. 2012 and 26.12. – 29.12. 2011

Prepared by:
Arnika – Toxics and Waste Programme
Chlumova 17
CZ-130 00 Praha 3
Czech Republic
<http://english.arnika.org>